made applicable to the maintenance of boundary marks also, and the Amildars empowered to inflict fines up to one rupee in cases of violation of the rules.

Note.—Mr. Shamiengar, Malur Taluk, represented that as the maintenance of boundary marks devolves on the holders of lands, the punishment now awarded to village servants for their laches is a hardship.

Reply of Government.—The suggestion is quite impracticable.

Subject No. 102. Lands to be given out to the first applicant without sale.

Summary of discussion.—If a raiyat applies for a plot of land for cultivation, or for building or other purposes, it should be granted to him without sale, for a reasonable upset price. It should not be sold simply because there is a second applicant for it.

Reply of Government.—No alteration of existing rules is called for.

Subject No. 103. Grant of land for cardamom cultivation.

Summary of discussion.—The restriction against the grant for cardamom cultivation of lands in the Malnad within 50 yards of water-courses may be withdrawn. In most cases it will be found, on careful enquiry, that, owing to the abundance of water-supply in the Malnad, the cultivation of such lands with cardamom will not affect the supply of water to any irrigated lands and cannot therefore be objectionable. As cardamom also wants a plentiful supply of water, it is advantageous to cultivate it by the side of water-courses.

Messrs. C. Srinivasa Rao and C. Vasudeva Rao of Chikmagalur, said that the retention of the scrub jungle which is necessary for cardamom cultivation, instead of interfering with springs, had been actually found to increase their volume.

Reply of Government.—The members are referred to para 19 of Forest Rules and to the discretion allowed to Deputy Commissioners in para 3 of the Proceedings No. 61-72-81—R. F. 84-96 of 14th December 1897.

Subject No. 104. Revision of Potgi.

Summary of discussion.—Early orders are solicited about the revision of Potgi allowances. The present remuneration was fixed many years ago, and there has since been a large increase of revenue, entailing additional work.

Reply of Government.—The revision of Potgi is in progress.

Subject No. 105. Re of Potgi. Tax.

Summary of discussion.—The scale of Potgi in force in Bombay is more liberal than that in Mysore, and may be extended to Mysore. Local Fund and Hulbanni collections are not also taken into account in Mysore as in Bombay.

Subj. Nomin. Taluk Shei Ex-Officio Mem. Taluk Kasba pal Board.

Reply of Government.—No alteration of the existing scale is called for.